



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/007,729	11/09/2001	Po-Hua Fang	YUSO-133	6389
43831	7590 03/24/2006	EXAMINER		
	LAW & TECHNOLOG	SAFAIPOUR, HOUSHANG		
1700NW 167TH PLACE SUITE 240 BEAVERTON, OR 97006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2625	

DATE MAILED: 03/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/007,729	FANG ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Houshang Safaipour	2627			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 De</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Dispositi	on of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1,2,4,5,9-11 and 14-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2,9 and 14-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 4,5,10,11,17 and 18 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Correction to drawing sheet(s) including the correction to athe oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) Notic 3) Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/007,729

Art Unit: 2627

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Applicant's amendment filed on December 6, 2005 have been fully considered but are not persuasive.

Response to Arguments

Applicant argues that the Applicant Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) does not disclose " a shell having an upper and lower surface, wherein a groove is formed on the upper surface and a fixing mechanism disposed on the shell, wherein the fixing mechanism is adapted to fix one of a document window glass and/or a transmission document carrier to the groove." Examiner disagrees. Fig. 1B (Prior Art) shows a shell (11) having an upper and lower surface, wherein a groove is formed on the upper surface and a fixing mechanism (the top cover) disposed on the shell, wherein the fixing mechanism is adapted to fix one of a document window glass (15) and/or a transmission document carrier (16) to the groove. The amended claims are rejected as follow:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1, 2, 9, 14, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA).

Regarding claim 1, AAPA discloses an image scanner comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/007,729

Art Unit: 2627

an optical chassis (14), adapted to provide light incident on a document (fig. 1B);
a shell (11) having an upper and lower surface, wherein a groove is formed on the upper surface (fig. 1B), and

a fixing mechanism (the top cover) disposed on the shell (11), wherein the fixing mechanism is adapted to fix one of a document window glass (15) and/or a transmission document carrier (16) to the groove (fig. 1B),

Regarding claim 2, AAPA discloses the image scanner of claim 1 and further comprising, a first light source (142), adapted to provide light incident upon one of the document window glass and the transmission document carrier; and an optical module (14), adapted to receive light reflected from a document disposed on one of the document glass (15) and the transmission document carrier (16)

Regarding claims 9, 14 and 15, arguments analogous to those presented for claim 1 are applicable to claims 9, 14 and 15.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over AAPA.

Regarding claim 16, AAPA discloses optical chassis 14 (fig. 1B, paragraph [0004]) but does not provide detailed description of the well known components inherently installed in the

Art Unit: 2627

optical chassis, including the light source and the optical module for receiving the light reflected from the document.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4, 10, and 17 which recite that the fixing element comprises "an elastic element and a blocking bead within the hole" and claims 5, 11 and 18 which recite that the fixing mechanism comprises a spring are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2627

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Houshang Safaipour whose telephone number is (571)272-7412.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs. from 6:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David Moore can be reached on (571)272-7437. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Houshang Safaipour Patent Examiner Art Unit 2622

February 27, 2006

DAVID MOORE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

And Moe